arXiv:0901.0323v3 [math-ph] 19 Jan 2009

Convolution symmetries of integrable hierarchies, matrix models and τ-functions*

J. Harnad[1,2] and A. Yu. Orlov[3]

[1] *Centre de recherches mathématiques, Université de Montréal*
C. P. 6128, succ. centre ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7
e-mail: harnad@crm.umontreal.ca

[2] *Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Concordia University*
7141 Sherbrooke W., Montréal, Québec, Canada H4B 1R6

[3] *Nonlinear Wave Processes Laboratory,*
Oceanology Institute, 36 Nakhimovskii Prospect, Moscow 117851, Russia
e-mail: orlovs@wave.sio.rssi.ru

Abstract

Generalized convolution symmetries of integrable hierarchies of KP-Toda and 2KP-Toda type have the effect of multiplying the Fourier coefficients of the elements of the Hilbert space Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ defined on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^1)$ by a specified sequence of constants. The induced action on the associated fermionic Fock space is diagonal in the standard orthonormal base determined by occupation sites and labeled by partitions. The coefficients in the single and double Schur function expansions of the τ-functions associated to elements $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$, which are its Plücker coordinates, are multiplied by the corresponding diagonal factors. Applying such transformations to matrix integrals, we obtain new matrix models of externally coupled type which are also KP-Toda or 2KP-Toda τ-functions. More general multiple integral representations of tau functions are similarly obtained, as well as finite determinantal expressions for them.

---

*Work of J.H. supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) and the Fonds Québecois de la recherche sur la nature et les technologies (FQRNT). Work of A.O. supported by joint RFBR Consortium EINSTEIN grants Nos. 06-01-92054; 05-01-00498 and RAS Program "Fundamental Methods in Nonlinear Physics".

1 Introduction: convolution symmetries of τ-functions

Solutions of integrable hierarchies of KP-Toda and 2KP-Toda type are determined by their τ-functions [23, 24]. KP-Toda τ-functions depend on an integer lattice point N and an infinite sequence of abelian flow parameters $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \ldots)$, and may be expanded in an infinite series of Schur functions $s_\lambda(\mathbf{t})$ corresponding to integer partitions $\lambda = (\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq 0)$

$$\tau(N, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\lambda} \pi_N(\lambda) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}). \tag{1.1}$$

The coefficients $\pi_N(\lambda)$ are interpretable as Plücker coordinates of the image of an element of a Hilbert space Grassmannian [23, 25] consisting of subspaces of the space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^1)$ of square integrable functions on the unit circle in the complex z-plane, embedded via the Plücker map in the projectivation of the exterior space $\Lambda\mathcal{H}$ (the Fermi Fock space).

The image of the Grassmannian under the Plücker map consists of decomposable elements of $\Lambda\mathcal{H}$, and is determined as the intersection of an infinite number of quadrics cut out by the Plücker relations. These are equivalent to an infinite set of bilinear differential relations for $\tau(N, \mathbf{t})$, the Hirota equations [15, 23, 24], which are the defining property of τ-functions. Through the Sato formula for the Baker-Akhiezer function

$$\Psi_N(z, \mathbf{t}) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i} \frac{\tau(N, \mathbf{t} - [z^{-1}])}{\tau(N, \mathbf{t})}, \qquad [z^{-1}] := (z^{-1}, 2z^{-2}, 3z^{-3}, \ldots), \tag{1.2}$$

these, extended to include all pairs of points on the integer lattice, are equivalent to the KP-Toda hierarchy of differential-difference equations and their associated Lax equations.

The 2KP-Toda hierarchy [15, 27] can similarly be expressed in terms of τ-functions depending on N, $\mathbf{t}$ and a further infinite sequence of flow parameters $\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = (\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \ldots)$. These admit double Schur function expansions [26]

$$\tau^{(2)}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\mu} B_N(\lambda, \mu) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}), \tag{1.3}$$

in which the coefficients $B_N(\lambda, \mu)$ have a similar interpretation in terms of Plücker coordinates. They also satisfy an infinite set of bilinear differential Hirota-type relations in both sequences of flow variables and difference-differential equations relating different lattice points.

Starting with any given τ-function of KP-Toda or 2KP-Toda type, it will be shown in the following that new τ-functions can be constructed, satisfying the same sets of bilinear relations, having the following Schur function expansions:

$$\tilde{C}_\rho(\tau)(N,\mathbf{t}) = \sum_\lambda r_\lambda(N)\pi_N(\lambda)s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) \tag{1.4}$$

$$\tilde{C}^{(2)}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(\tau^{(2)})(N,\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_\lambda\sum_\mu r_\lambda(N)B_N(\lambda,\mu)\tilde{r}_\mu(N)s_\lambda(\mathbf{t})s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}), \tag{1.5}$$

where the factors $r_\lambda(N)$, $\tilde{r}_\lambda(N)$ are defined in terms of a given pair of infinite sequences of non-vanishing constants $\{r_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$, $\{\tilde{r}_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ through the formulae

$$r_\lambda(N) := c_r(N)\prod_{(i,j)\in\lambda} r_{N-i+j}, \quad \tilde{r}_\mu(N) := c_{\tilde{r}}(N)\prod_{(i,j)\in\mu}\tilde{r}_{N-i+j}. \tag{1.6}$$

Here the products are over pairs of positive integers $(i,j)\in\lambda$ and $(i,j)\in\mu$ that lie within the matrix locations represented by the Young diagrams of the partitions λ and μ, respectively, and

$$c_r(N) := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty}\frac{\rho_{N-i}}{\rho_{-i}}, \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$r_i = \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_{i-1}} \tag{1.8}$$

The sequence of nonvanishing parameters $\{\rho_i\}$ may be viewed as Fourier coefficients of a function $\rho(z)$ on the unit circle, or a distribution. It will be shown (**Proposition 3.1**) that, in terms of the elements of the subspace $W\subset L^2(S^1)$ corresponding to a point of the Grassmannian, the transformations (1.4), (1.5) mean taking a generalized convolution product with a function or a distribution $\rho(z)$ on the unit circle, whose Fourier coefficients are related to the r_i's by:

$$r_i = \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_{i-1}} \tag{1.9}$$

(and similarly for $\tilde{r}_i$). These will therefore be referred to as (generalized) *convolution symmetries*.

With the usual 2KP-Toda flow parameters $(\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}})$ fixed at some specific values, such transformations extend to an infinite abelian group of commuting flows whose parameters determine the ρ_i's. This has been used to generate new classes of solutions of integrable hierarchies [5, 21, 20]. In the present work, they are studied rather as individual transformations, for fixed values of the parameters ρ_i which, when applied to a given KP-Toda or 2KP-Toda τ-function, produce a new one. Particular cases that implicitly use such transformations as symmetries have found applications, e.g., as generating functions for topological invariants related to Riemann surfaces, such as Gromov-Witten invariants and Hurwitz numbers [17, 18].

As an immediate application, we may start with an integral over $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices:

$$Z_N(\mathbf{t}) = \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M)\, e^{\mathrm{tr}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i M^i}, \tag{1.10}$$

where $d\mu$ is a suitably defined $U(N)$ conjugation invariant measure on the space $\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}$ of Hermitian $N\times N$ matrices, which is known to be a KP-Toda τ-function [29]. Applying the convolution symmetry (1.4) for the case where $\rho(z)$ is taken as the exponential function e^z on the unit disc, and evaluating at flow parameter values

$$t_i = \frac{1}{i}\mathrm{tr}(A^i), \quad \mathbf{t} = [A] \tag{1.11}$$

for a fixed $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix A, we obtain, within a constant multiplicative factor, the externally coupled matrix model integral (**Proposition 4.1**).

$$Z_{N,ext}([A]) := \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M) e^{\mathrm{tr}AM} = (\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} i!)\, \tilde{C}_\rho(Z_N)([A]). \tag{1.12}$$

Such integrals arise in a number contexts, such as the Kontsevich-Witten generating function [7], the Brezin-Hikami model [6, 30, 31] and the complex Wishart ensemble [4, 28]. More general choices for the function $\rho(z)$ are shown in **Proposition 4.2** to also determine KP-Toda τ-functions as externally coupled matrix integrals. It is further shown, in **Proposition 4.3**, that these matrix model τ-functions can be expressed as finite $N \times N$ determinants.

Similarly, Hermitian two-matrix integrals with exponential coupling of Itzykson-Zuber type [10]

$$Z_N^{(2)}(\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M_1) \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\tilde{\mu}(M_2)\ e^{\mathrm{tr}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(t_i M_1^i + \tilde{t}_i M_2^i) + M_1 M_2)} \tag{1.13}$$

are known to be 2KP-Toda τ-functions [1, 11, 12, 19]. Applying the convolution symmetry (1.5) to (1.13) gives an externally coupled two-matrix integral (**Proposition 4.4**).

$$\tilde{C}^{(2)}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(Z_N^{(2)})([A],[B]) = \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M_1) \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\tilde{\mu}(M_2)\, \tau_r(N,[A],[M_1])\tau_{\tilde{r}}(N,[B],[M_2]) e^{\mathrm{tr}(M_1M_2)}, \tag{1.14}$$

where $[A]$ and $[B]$ signify the sequences $\{\frac{1}{i}\mathrm{tr}(A^i)\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+}$ and $\{\frac{1}{i}\mathrm{tr}(B^i)\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+}$ of trace invariants for the pair of Hermitian matrices A and B and

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_r(N,A,M_1) &= \sum_\lambda r_\lambda(N)s_\lambda([A])s_\lambda([M_1]),\\ \tau_{\tilde r}(N,B,M_2) &= \sum_\lambda \tilde r_\lambda(N)s_\lambda([B])s_\lambda([M_2]).\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

This doubly externally coupled two-matrix model τ-function can also be expressed in a finite $N\times N$ determinantal form (eq. (4.33), **Proposition 4.5**).

This approach can also be extended to more general 2KP-Toda τ-functions admitting multiple integral representations of the form (4.42). Applying the convolution symmetry (1.5) then gives a new 2KP-Toda τ-function expressible either as a multiple integral (eq. (4.43), **Proposition 4.6**) or as a finite determinant (eq. (4.45), **Proposition 4.7**).

The key to understanding these constructions, and further results following from them, is the interpretation of the Sato τ-function as a vacuum state expectation value of products of exponentials of bilinear combinations of fermionic creation and annihilation operators [23, 15, 27]. This well-known construction will be summarized in the next section.

2 Fermionic construction of τ-functions

We recall here the approach to the construction of τ-functions for integrable hierarchies of the KP and Toda types due to Sato [23, 24], the Kyoto school [8, 9, 15, 27] and Segal and Wilson [25].

2.1 Hilbert space Grassmannian and fermionic Fock space

We begin with the "first quantized" Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, which will be identified, as in [25], with the space of square integrable functions on the unit circle

$$\mathcal{H} = L^2(S^1) = \mathcal{H}_+ + \mathcal{H}_-, \tag{2.1}$$

decomposed as the direct sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathrm{span}\{z^i\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ and $\mathcal{H}_- = \mathrm{span}\{z^{-i}\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+}$ consisting of functions that admit holomorphic extensions, respectively, to the interior and exterior of the unit circle S^1 in the complex z-plane, with the latter vanishing at $z=\infty$. For consistency with other conventions, the monomial (orthonormal) basis elements of $\mathcal{H}$ will be denoted $\{e_i := z^{-i-1}\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$.

Two infinite abelian groups act on $\mathcal{H}$ by multiplication:

$$\Gamma_+ := \{\gamma_+(\mathbf{t}) := e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^i}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_- := \{\gamma_-(\mathbf{t}) := e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i z^{-i}}\}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{t} := (t_1, t_2, \dots)$ is an infinite sequence of (complex) flow parameters corresponding to the one-parameter subgroups. More generally, we have the general linear group $GL(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of invertible endomorphisms connected to the identity with well defined determinants. (See [25] for more detailed definitions of this and what follows.)

We consider the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ of subspaces $W \subset \mathcal{H}$ that are *commensurable* with $\mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H}$ (in the sense that the orthogonal projection operator $\pi_+ : W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ is Fredholm while $\pi_- : W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_-$ is Hilbert-Schmidt). The connected components of $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$, denoted $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H})$, $N \in \mathbf{Z}$, consist of those $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ for which the Fredholm index of $\pi_+ : W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_+$ is N. These are the $GL(\mathcal{H})$ orbits of the subspaces

$$\mathcal{H}_+^N := z^{-N} \mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H},$$

whose elements are denoted $W_{g,N} = g(\mathcal{H}_+^N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H})$. The solutions to the KP hierarchy are given by the τ-function $\tau_{N,g}(\mathbf{t})$ as defined below, which determines the orbit of $W_{g,N}$ under Γ_+ through its Plücker coordinates. The *Fermionic Fock space* is the exterior space $\mathcal{F} := \Lambda \mathcal{H}$ consisting of (a completion of) the span of the semi-infinite wedge products:

$$|\lambda, N\rangle := e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots, \tag{2.3}$$

where $\{i_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}^+}$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of integers that saturates, for sufficiently large j, to a descending sequence of consecutive integers. This is equivalent to requiring that there be an associated pair (λ, N) consisting of an integer N and a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}, 0, 0, \dots)$ of length $l(\lambda)$ and weight $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \lambda_i$, where the parts λ_i are a weakly decreasing sequence of non-negative integers that are positive for $i \leq \ell(\lambda)$, and zero for $i > \ell(\lambda)$, such that the sequence $\{i_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}^+}$ is given by

$$i_j := \lambda_j - j + N. \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, for the trivial partition $\lambda = (0)$, we have the "charge N vacuum" vector

$$|0, N\rangle = e_{N-1} \wedge e_{N-2} \wedge \dots, \tag{2.5}$$

which will henceforth be denoted $|N\rangle$. The full Fock space $\mathcal{F}$ thus admits a decomposition as an orthogonal direct sum of the subspaces $\mathcal{F}_N$ of states with charge N

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{N \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{F}_N. \tag{2.6}$$

Denoting by $\{\tilde{e}^i\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ the basis for $\mathcal{H}^*$ dual to the monomial basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$ for $\mathcal{H}$, we define the Fermi creation and annihilation operators ψ_i and $\psi_i^\dagger$ on an arbitrary vector $v\in\mathcal{F}$ by exterior and interior muliplication, respectively:

$$\psi_i v = e_i \wedge v, \quad \psi_i^\dagger v := i_{\tilde{e}^i} v, \quad v\in\mathcal{H}. \tag{2.7}$$

These satisfy the standard canonical anti-commutation relations generating the Clifford algebra on $\mathcal{H}+\mathcal{H}^*$ with respect to the natural corresponding quadratic form

$$[\psi_i, \psi_j]_+ = [\psi_i^\dagger, \psi_j^\dagger]_+ = 0, \quad [\psi_i, \psi_j^\dagger]_+ = \delta_{ij}. \tag{2.8}$$

The basis states $|\lambda, N\rangle$ may be expressed in terms of creation and annihilation operators acting upon the charge N vacuum vector as follows [14]

$$|\lambda, N\rangle = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i} \prod_{i=1}^k \psi_{N+\alpha_i} \psi_{N-\beta_i-1}^\dagger |N\rangle, \tag{2.9}$$

where $(\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_k|\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$ is the Frobenius notation (see [16]) for the partition λ; i.e., α_i is the number of boxes in the corresponding Young diagram to the right of the ith diagonal element and β_i the number below it.

The Plücker map $\mathfrak{P} : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{F})$ takes the subspace $W = \mathrm{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots)$ into the projectivization of the exterior product of its basis elements:

$$\mathfrak{P} : \mathrm{span}(w_1, w_2, \dots) \mapsto [w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \cdots], \tag{2.10}$$

and may be lifted to a map from the bundle $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ of frames on $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\mathcal{F}$

$$\hat{\mathfrak{P}} : (w_1, w_2, \dots) \mapsto w_1 \wedge w_2 \wedge \cdots. \tag{2.11}$$

These interlace the lift of the action of the abelian group $\Gamma_+ \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ to $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ or $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ with the following representation on $\mathcal{F}$ (and its projectivization)

$$\gamma_+(\mathbf{t}) : v \mapsto \hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t}) v, \quad \hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t}) := e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i H_i}, \quad v \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{2.12}$$

where

$$H_i := \sum_{n\in\mathbf{Z}} \psi_n \psi_{n+i}^\dagger, \quad i \in \mathbf{Z} \tag{2.13}$$

and $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$ is the infinite sequence of flow parameters. Similarly, the Plücker maps $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}$ and $\mathfrak{P}$ interlace the action of the abelian group Γ_- on $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ with the following representation on $\mathcal{F}$

$$\gamma_-(\mathbf{t}) : v \mapsto \hat{\gamma}_-(\mathbf{t}) v, \quad \hat{\gamma}_-(\mathbf{t}) := e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i H_{-i}}, \quad v \in \mathcal{F}, \tag{2.14}$$

and its projectivization.

The KP-Toda τ-function $\tau_g(N, \mathbf{t})$ corresponding to the element $W_{g,N} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ is given, within a nonzero multiplicative constant, by applying the group elements $\gamma_+(\mathbf{t})$ to $W_{g,N}$, to obtain the Γ_+ orbit $\{W_{g,N}(\mathbf{t}) := \gamma_+(\mathbf{t})(W_{g,N})\}$, and taking the linear coordinate (within projectivization) of the image under the Plücker map corresponding to projection along the basis element $|N\rangle$

$$\tau_g(N, \mathbf{t}) = \langle N|\hat{\mathfrak{P}}(W_{g,N}(\mathbf{t}))\rangle. \tag{2.15}$$

If the group element $g \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ is interpreted, relative to the monomial basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$, as an infinite matrix exponential $g = e^A$ of an element of the Lie algebra $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathcal{H})$ with matrix elements A_{ij}, then the corresponding representation of $GL(\mathcal{H})$ on $\mathcal{F}$ is given by

$$\hat{g} := e^{\sum_{i,j\in\mathbf{Z}} A_{ij} :\psi_i \psi_j^\dagger:}, \tag{2.16}$$

where : : denotes normal ordering (i.e. annihilation operators $\psi_j^\dagger$ appearing to the right when $j \geq 0$ and creation operators ψ_i to the right when $i < 0$). This gives the following expression for $\tau_{N,g}(\mathbf{t})$ as a charge N vacuum state expectation value of a product of exponentiated bilinears in the Fermi creation and annihilation operators

$$\tau_g(N, \mathbf{t}) = \langle N|\hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t})\hat{g}|N\rangle. \tag{2.17}$$

The equations of the KP and Toda lattice hierarchy are then equivalent to the well-known infinite system of Hirota bilinear equations [15, 23, 24] which, in turn, are just the Plücker relations for the decomposable element $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}(W_{g,N}(\mathbf{t}))$.

Similarly, we may define a 2-Toda sequence of 2-component KP τ-functions associated to the group element $\hat{g}$ as follows

$$\tau_g^{(2)}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \langle N|\hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t})\hat{g}\hat{\gamma}_-(\tilde{\mathbf{t}})|N\rangle, \tag{2.18}$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = (\tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_2, \ldots)$ is a second infinite set of flow parameters.

Remark 2.1 Note that the image under the Plücker map of the component $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H})$ of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ having virtual dimension N is the $GL(\mathcal{H})$ orbit of the charged vacuum state $|N\rangle$, and that the $GL(\mathcal{H})$ action preserves the charge N sectors $\mathcal{F}_N$ of the Fock space $\mathcal{F}$.

2.2 Schur function expansions

Evaluating the matrix elements of $\hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t})$ and $\hat{\gamma}_-(\mathbf{t})$ between the states $|N\rangle$ and $|\lambda, N\rangle$ gives the Schur function

$$\langle N|\hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t})|\lambda, N\rangle = \langle \lambda, N|\hat{\gamma}_-(\mathbf{t})|N\rangle = s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}), \tag{2.19}$$

which is determined through the Jacobi-Trudy formula

$$s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = \det(h_{\lambda_i - i + j}(\mathbf{t}))|_{1 \le i,j \le \ell(\lambda)} \tag{2.20}$$

in terms of the complete symmetric functions $h_i(\mathbf{t})$, defined by

$$e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty t_i z^i} = \sum_{i=0}^\infty h_i(\mathbf{t}) z^i. \tag{2.21}$$

Inserting a sum over a complete set of intermediate states in eqs. (2.17), (2.18), we obtain the single and double Schur functions expansions

$$\tau_g(N, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_\lambda \pi_{N,g}(\lambda) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}), \tag{2.22}$$

$$\tau_g^{(2)}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_\lambda \sum_\mu B_{N,g}(\lambda, \mu) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}). \tag{2.23}$$

Here the sum is over all partitions λ and μ and

$$\pi_{N,g}(\lambda) = \langle \lambda, N | \hat{g} | N \rangle \tag{2.24}$$

is the Plücker coordinate along the basis direction $|\lambda, N\rangle$ in the charge N Fock space $\mathcal{F}_N$ of the image of the element $g(\mathcal{H}_+^N) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H})$ under the Plücker map $\mathfrak{P}$. Similarly,

$$B_{N,g}(\lambda, \mu) = \langle \lambda, N | \hat{g} | \mu, N \rangle \tag{2.25}$$

may be viewed as the $|\lambda, N\rangle$ Plücker coordinate of the image of the element $g(w_{\mu,N}) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H})$, where

$$w_{\mu,N} := \mathrm{span}\{e_{\mu_i - i + N}\} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H}). \tag{2.26}$$

In particular, choosing g to be the identity element $\mathbf{I}$, and using Wick's theorem (or equivalently, the Cauchy-Binet identity in semi-infinite form), we obtain [12]

$$\tau_{\mathbf{I}}^{(2)}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \langle N | \hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t}) \hat{\gamma}_-(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) | N \rangle = \sum_\lambda s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty i t_i \tilde{t}_i}, \tag{2.27}$$

where the last equality is the Cauchy-Littlewood identity (cf. ref. [16]).

3 Convolution symmetries

3.1 Convolution action on $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$

Consider now an infinite sequence of complex numbers $\{T_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}$, and define

$$\rho_i := e^{T_i}, \qquad r_i := \frac{\rho_i}{\rho_{i-1}}, \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}. \tag{3.1}$$

In the following, we will assume that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_{-i}$ converges and that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} |r_i| = r \leq 1, \tag{3.2}$$

(although, for some purposes, the latter condition may be weakened). It follows that the two series

$$\rho_+(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_i z^i, \quad \rho_-(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_{-i} z^{-i}, \tag{3.3}$$

are absolutely convergent in the interior and exterior of the unit circle $|z| = 1$, respectively, defining analytic functions $\rho_\pm(z)$ in these regions and that

$$R_\rho := \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_{-i} \tag{3.4}$$

converges to a finite value. If the inequality (3.2) is strict, $\rho_+(z)$ extends to the unit circle, defining a function in $L^2(S^1)$. Henceforth, we denote the pair (ρ_+, ρ_-) by ρ, where the latter can be viewed as a sum $\rho_- + \rho_+$ in the sense of distributional convolutions, as defined below.

If $w \in L^2(S^1)$ has the Fourier series decomposition

$$w(z) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} w_i z^i, \tag{3.5}$$

we can define a bounded linear map $C_\rho : L^2(S^1) \to L^2(S^1)$ that has the effect of multiplying each Fourier coefficient w_i by the factor ρ_{-i-1}, and hence each basis element e_i by ρ_i.

$$C_\rho(w)(z) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{-i-1} w_i z^i. \tag{3.6}$$

This can be interpreted as applying a pair of generalized convolutions

$$C_\rho(w) := (C_{\rho_+}(w_-), C_{\rho_-}(w_+)) \tag{3.7}$$

defined by

$$C_{\rho_+}(w_-)(z) := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i z} \oint_{|\zeta|=1-\epsilon} \rho_+(\zeta/z) w_-(\zeta) d\zeta, \tag{3.8}$$

$$C_{\rho_-}(w_+)(z) := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} \frac{1}{2\pi i z} \oint_{|\zeta|=1+\epsilon} \rho_-(\zeta/z) w_+(\zeta) d\zeta, \tag{3.9}$$

(with the contour integrals taken counterclockwise) to the positive and negative parts of the Fourier series

$$w_-(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_{-i} z^{-i}, \quad w_+(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} w_i z^i. \tag{3.10}$$

If $\rho_+(z)$ extends analytically to S^1, eq. (3.8) is an ordinary convolution product on the circle (in exponential variables). In the examples detailed below, all but a finite number of the T_{-i} values vanish for $i > 0$, and hence the infinite product (3.4) is really finite, $\rho_-(z)$ is rational with a pole at $z = 1$ and the convolution product (3.9) may be understood on S^1 only in the sense of distributions.

If another pair of functions $\tilde{\rho}_\pm$ is defined as in (3.3), with Fourier coefficients $\tilde{\rho}_i$ then, defining the convolution products

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\rho} * \rho &:= \tilde{\rho}_- * \rho_- + \tilde{\rho}_+ * \rho_+ \\
\tilde{\rho}_- * \rho_-(z) &:= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\rho}_{-i} \rho_{-i} z^{-i} \\
\tilde{\rho}_+ * \rho_+(z) &:= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\rho}_i \rho_i z^i,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

we have

$$C_{\tilde{\rho}*\rho} = C_{\tilde{\rho}} \circ C_\rho. \tag{3.12}$$

Remark 3.1 Note that the class of generalized convolution mappings defined by (3.6) - (3.9) only forms a semi-group since, although they may be invertible, their inverse does not generally belong to the same class. It may be extended to a group by dropping the condition (3.2), but this will not be needed in the sequel. The linear maps $C_\rho : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ may nevertheless be interpreted as elements of $GL(\mathcal{H})$, and are simply represented in the monomial basis $\{e_i\}$ by the diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}\{\rho_i\}$. They thus belong to the abelian subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of invertible elements that are diagonal in the monomial basis.

Remark 3.2 Since the Baker-Akhiezer function (1.2), evaluated at all values of the parameters $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \ldots)$, spans the shifted element $z^N(W_{g,N}) \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^0}(\mathcal{H})$ in the zero virtual dimension component of the Grassmannian, the convolution action (3.8), (3.9), lifted to the Grassmannian, may be obtained by applying its conjugate $z^N \circ C_\rho \circ z^{-N}$ under the shift map $z^{-N} : \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^0}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+^N}(\mathcal{H})$ to $\Psi_N(z, \mathbf{t})$. But note that, at fixed values of the flow parameters $\mathbf{t}$, this does not equal the value of the Baker-Akhiezer function corresponding to the transformed τ-function as defined below; only the subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ that they span, varying over the $\mathbf{t}$ values, will coincide. This fact will not be used explicitly in the following, but it underlies the geometrical meaning of generalized convolutions as symmetries of KP-Toda and 2KP-Toda hierarchies.

3.2 Convolution action on Fock space

We now consider the action $\hat{C} \times \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ of the abelian subgroup of $GL(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of diagonal elements in the monomial basis, and associate an element $\hat{C}_\rho \in \hat{C}$ to each sequence $\{\rho_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ defined as above, such that the Plücker map $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}$ intertwines the $\hat{C}_\rho$ action with that of C_ρ, lifted to the bundle $\mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ of frames over $\mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$, and is equivariant with respect to the convolution product (3.11), (3.12) and group multiplication in $\hat{C}$.

To do this, we first introduce the abelian algebra generated by the operators

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &:= :\psi_i \psi_i^\dagger: = \begin{cases} \psi_i \psi_i^\dagger & \text{if} \quad i \geq 0 \\ -\psi_i^\dagger \psi_i & \text{if} \quad i < 0, \end{cases} && (3.13) \\ [K_i, K_j] &= 0, \quad i, j \in \mathbf{Z}. && (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

For $\{\rho_i = e^{T_i}\}_{i \in \mathbf{Z}}$ as above, define the operator

$$\hat{C}_\rho := e^{\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} T_i K_i}. \tag{3.15}$$

Definition 3.1 *For each pair (λ, N), where $N \in \mathbf{Z}$, and λ is a partition which, expressed in Frobenius notation, is $(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k | \beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)$, let*

$$r_\lambda(N) := c_r(N) \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} r_{N-i+j} = c_r(N) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\rho_{N+\alpha_i}}{\rho_{N-\beta_i-1}} \right), \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$c_r(N) := \begin{cases} \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \rho_i & \text{if} \quad N > 0 \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad N = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\prod_{i=N}^{-1} \rho_i} & \text{if} \quad N < 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.17}$$

Here the inclusion $(i,j) \in \lambda$ is understood to mean that the matrix location (i,j) corresponds to a box within the Young diagram of the partition λ; i.e., $1 \leq i \leq \ell(\lambda)$, $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$. The second equality in (3.16) follows from the definition (3.1).

It follows that $\hat{C}_\rho$ acts diagonally in the basis $\{|\lambda, N\rangle\}$, with eigenvalues $r_\lambda(N)$.

Lemma 3.1

$$\hat{C}_\rho|\lambda, N\rangle = r_\lambda(N)|\lambda, N\rangle. \tag{3.18}$$

Proof: Since the Fock space basis element $|\lambda, N\rangle$ is an infinite wedge product

$$|\lambda, N\rangle = e_{i_1} \wedge e_{i_2} \wedge \cdots = (-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i} \prod_{i=1}^k \psi_{N+\alpha_i}\psi^\dagger_{N-\beta_i-1}|N\rangle, \tag{3.19}$$

$$i_j := \lambda_j - j + N, \tag{3.20}$$

it follows from the definition (2.7) and the normal ordering in (3.13) that the effect of the action of $e^{T_i K_i}$ on $|\lambda, N\rangle$ is to introduce a multiplicative factor ρ_i if $i \geq 0$ and e_i is present in the wedge product (3.19) or ρ_i^{-1} if $i < 0$ and it is absent, and otherwise no factor. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}_\rho|\lambda, N\rangle &= \hat{C}_\rho(-1)^{\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i} \prod_{i=1}^k \psi_{N+\alpha_i}\psi^\dagger_{N-\beta_i-1}|N\rangle && (3.21)\\
&= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^\infty \rho_{N-i}}{\prod_{i=1}^\infty \rho_{-i}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\rho_{N+\alpha_i}}{\rho_{N-\beta_i-1}}\right) |\lambda, N \\
&= c_r(N) \left(\prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\rho_{N+\alpha_i}}{\rho_{N-\beta_i-1}}\right) |\lambda, N\rangle && (3.22)\\
&= r_\lambda(N)|\lambda, N\rangle. && (3.23)
\end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Now let $W = \mathrm{span}\{w_i(z) \in L^2(S^1)\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+} \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ and view $\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+}$ as a frame for W.

Lemma 3.2 *The Plücker map $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}$ intertwines the convolution action (3.6) and the $\hat{C}$-action on $\mathcal{F}$*

$$\hat{\mathfrak{P}}(\{C_\rho(w_i)\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+}) = R_\rho \hat{C}_\rho(\hat{\mathfrak{P}}\{w_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}^+}), \tag{3.24}$$

with multiplicative factor $R_\rho := \prod_{i=1}^\infty \rho_{-i}$.

Proof: Applying C_ρ to each element $w_i \in L^2(S^1)$ defining the frame for $W \in \mathrm{Gr}_{\mathcal{H}_+}(\mathcal{H})$ just multiplies its Fourier coefficients by the factors ρ_{-i-1}. It follows that the basis element $|\lambda, N\rangle$ is multiplied by the product of the factors ρ_{i_j} corresponding to the terms e_{i_j} it contains, as in (3.18). Eq. (3.24) then follows from the definition of the Plücker map $\hat{\mathfrak{P}}$ and linearity. Q.E.D.

Example 3.1 Choose

$$\rho_+(z) = e^z = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^i}{i!}, \qquad |z| \leq 1 \tag{3.25}$$

$$\rho_-(z) = \frac{1}{z-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z^{-i} \qquad |z| > 1, \tag{3.26}$$

so

$$\rho_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i!} & \text{if} \quad i \geq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i \leq -1, \end{cases} \tag{3.27}$$

$$r_i = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{i} & \text{if} \quad i \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.28}$$

$$r_\lambda(N) = \frac{1}{(\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} i!)(N)_\lambda} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell(\lambda) \leq N \tag{3.29}$$

where

$$(N)_\lambda := \prod_{i=1}^{\ell(\lambda)} \prod_{j=1}^{\lambda_i} (N - i + j) \tag{3.30}$$

is the extended Pochhammer symbol.

Example 3.2 Choose

$$\rho_+(z) = \frac{1}{(1-\zeta z)^a} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (a)_i \frac{(\zeta z)^i}{i!}, \qquad |\zeta| < 1, \quad |z| \leq 1 \tag{3.31}$$

and $\rho_-(z)$ again as in (3.26), so

$$\rho_i = \begin{cases} (a)_i \frac{\zeta^i}{i!} & \text{if} \quad i \geq 0 \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i \leq -1, \end{cases} \tag{3.32}$$

$$r_i = \begin{cases} \frac{a-1+i}{i} \zeta & \text{if} \quad i \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if} \quad i \leq 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.33}$$

$$r_\lambda(N) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(a)_i}{i!} \right) \frac{\zeta^{|\lambda| + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} (a-1+N)_\lambda}{(N)_\lambda} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell(\lambda) \leq N. \tag{3.34}$$

3.3 Convolutions and Schur function expansions of τ-functions

We now consider the KP-Toda tau function

$$\tau_{C_\rho g}(N, \mathbf{t}) = \langle N|\hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t})\hat{C}_\rho \hat{g}|N\rangle \tag{3.35}$$

obtained by replacing the group element g in (2.17) by $C_\rho g$. Such a τ-function, obtained from τ_g by applying a convolution symmetry will be denoted

$$\tau_{C_\rho g} =: \tilde{C}_\rho(\tau_g). \tag{3.36}$$

Introducing a second pair $(\tilde{\rho}_+, \tilde{\rho}_-)$, defined as in (3.3), with the Fourier coefficients ρ_i replaced by $\tilde{\rho}_i$, we also consider the 2-Toda tau function

$$\tau^{(2)}_{C_\rho g C_{\tilde{\rho}}}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \langle N|\hat{\gamma}_+(\mathbf{t})\hat{C}_\rho \hat{g}\hat{C}_{\tilde{\rho}}\hat{\gamma}_-(\tilde{\mathbf{t}})|N\rangle \tag{3.37}$$

obtained by replacing the group element g in (2.18) by $C_\rho g C_{\tilde{\rho}}$, and denote this transformed 2-Toda τ-function

$$\tau^{(2)}_{C_\rho \hat{g} C_{\tilde{\rho}}} =: \tilde{C}^{(2)}_{(\rho,\tilde{\rho})}(\tau^{(2)}_g). \tag{3.38}$$

Inserting sums over complete sets of intermediate orthonormal basis states in (3.35) and (3.37), and defining $\tilde{r}_\lambda(N)$ as in (3.16), with the factors ρ_i replaced by $\tilde{\rho}_i$, we obtain the following form for the Schur function expansions (2.22), (2.23).

Proposition 3.1 *The effect of the convolution actions (3.36), (3.38) is to multiply the coefficients in the Schur function expansions of $\tau_{C_\rho g}(N, \mathbf{t})$ and $\tau^{(2)}_{C_\rho \hat{g} C_{\tilde{\rho}}}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}})$ by the diagonal factors $r_\lambda(N)$ and $\tilde{r}_\mu(N)$.*

$$\tau_{C_\rho g}(N, \mathbf{t}) = \sum_\lambda r_\lambda(N)\pi_{N,g}(\lambda)s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}), \tag{3.39}$$

$$\tau^{(2)}_{C_\rho g C_{\tilde{\rho}}}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_\lambda \sum_\mu r_\lambda(N)B_{N,g}(\lambda, \mu)\tilde{r}_\mu(N)s_\lambda(\mathbf{t})s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}). \tag{3.40}$$

The Plücker coordinates for the modified Grassmannian elements $C_\rho g(\mathcal{H}^N_+)$ and $C_\rho g C_{\tilde{\rho}}(w_{\mu,N})$ are thus

$$\pi_{N,C_\rho g}(\lambda) = r_\lambda(N)\pi_{N,g}(\lambda) \tag{3.41}$$

$$B_{N,C_\rho g C_{\tilde{\rho}}}(\lambda, \mu) = r_\lambda(N)B_{N,g}(\lambda, \mu)\tilde{r}_\mu(N). \tag{3.42}$$

Proof: This follows immediately from the diagonal form (3.18) of the $\hat{C}$ action in the orthonormal basis $\{|\lambda, N\rangle\}$ and the definitions (2.24) and (2.25) of the Plücker coordinates $\pi_{N,C_\rho g}(\lambda)$ and $B_{N,C_\rho g C_{\tilde{\rho}}}(\lambda, \mu)$. Q.E.D.

In particular, setting $g = C_{\tilde{\rho}} = \mathbf{I}$, in (3.40) we obtain

$$\tau^{(2)}_{C_\rho}(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_\lambda r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) s_\lambda(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) =: \tau_r(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}}) \tag{3.43}$$

where $\tau_r(N, \mathbf{t}, \tilde{\mathbf{t}})$ is defined by the second equality. Such τ-functions have been studied as generalizations of hypergeometric functions in [21, 20]. (Cf. also [12, 13], where the notation differs slightly due to the presence of the normalization factor $c_r(N)$ in the definition (3.16) of $r_\lambda(N)$.)

In the following, the infinite sequence of parameters $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2, \dots)$ will often be chosen as the trace invariants of some square matrix M. The sequence so formed will be denoted

$$\mathbf{t} = [M] = \left\{ \frac{1}{i} \mathrm{tr}(M^i) \right\} \Big|_{i \in \mathbf{N}^+}, \quad [M]_i := \frac{1}{i} \mathrm{tr}(M^i). \tag{3.44}$$

If $\mathbf{t}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}$ in (3.43) are replaced by $[A]$ and $[B]$, respectively, where A and B are a pair of diagonal matrices

$$A = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_N), \quad B = \mathrm{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_N) \tag{3.45}$$

with distinct eigenvalues, and

$$\Delta(A) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^{n} (a_i - a_j), \qquad \Delta(B) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^{n} (b_i - b_j) \tag{3.46}$$

denote the Vandermonde determinants in the variables $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$, we obtain a simple $N \times N$ determinantal expression for $\tau_r(N, [A], [B])$ (cf. [13, 19]).

Lemma 3.3

$$\tau_r(N, [A], [B]) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \leq N} r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda([A]) s_\lambda([B]) \tag{3.47}$$

$$= \frac{\det(\rho_+(a_i b_j)|_{1 \leq i,j \leq N}}{\Delta(A) \Delta(B)}. \tag{3.48}$$

Remark 3.3 Although various proofs of this result may be found elsewhere (e.g., cf. [13]), we provide a detailed version here, based on the Cauchy-Binet identity in semi-infinite form, since it involves some useful further relations. An equivalent way is to use the fermionic form of Wick's theorem, which is really just the Cauchy-Binet identity expressed in terms of fermionic operators and matrix elements.

Proof of Lemma 3.3: The Cauchy-Binet identity in semi-infinite form may be expressed by considering two N-dimensional framed subspaces $\mathrm{span}\{F_i\}_{1\leq i\leq N}$ and $\mathrm{span}\{G_i\}_{1\leq i\leq N}$ of the complex Euclidean vector space $\ell^2(\mathbf{N}) = \mathrm{span}\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$, identified with $\mathcal{H}_+ \subset \mathcal{H} = L^2(S^1)$, by choosing the monomials $\{z^i\}_{i\in\mathbf{N}}$ as orthonormal basis. The complex inner product $(\ ,\ )$ is thus defined by integration

$$(F\,,G\,) := \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z\in S^1} F(z)G(z^{-1})\frac{dz}{z}. \tag{3.49}$$

The Cauchy-Binet identity can then be expressed as

$$\det(F_i, G_j)|_{1\leq i,j\leq N} = \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq N} \det(F_{\lambda_i - i+N,j})\det(G_{\lambda_i-i+N,j}), \tag{3.50}$$

where

$$F_i = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} F_{ji}e_j, \qquad G_i = \sum_{j\in\mathbf{Z}} G_{ji}e_j, \tag{3.51}$$

and the sum is over all partitions λ of length $\ell(\lambda) \leq N$, completed so that the $N\times N$ submatrices $F_{\lambda_i-i+N,j}$ and $G_{\lambda_i-i+N,j}$ are defined by setting $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i > \ell(\lambda)$. Since all expressions in the sum will be polynomials in the parameters (a_i, b_i) there is no loss of generality in assuming that these lie within the unit disc. We define

$$F_i(z) := \rho_+(a_i z), \qquad G_i(z) := (1-b_i z)^{-1} \tag{3.52}$$

and hence

$$F_{ij} = \rho_i(a_j), \quad G_{ij} = (b_j)^i. \tag{3.53}$$

From the character formula

$$s_\lambda([A]) = \frac{\det(a_i^{\lambda_j-j+N})}{\Delta(A)}, \quad s_\lambda([B]) = \frac{\det(b_i^{\lambda_j-j+N})}{\Delta(B)}, \tag{3.54}$$

it follows that the determinant factors on the RHS of (3.50) are

$$\begin{aligned}\det(F_{\lambda_i-i+N,j}) &= \det(a_j^{\lambda_i-i+N}\rho_{\lambda_i-i+N}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^N \rho_{\lambda_i-i+N}\right) s_\lambda([A])\Delta([A]),\\ \det(g_{\lambda_i-i+N,j}) &= \det(b_j^{\lambda_i-i+N}) = s_\lambda([B])\Delta(B).\end{aligned} \tag{3.55}$$

From the definitions (3.16) and (3.17), it follows that

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{N}\rho_{\lambda_i-i+N}\right)=r_\lambda(N), \tag{3.56}$$

so the RHS of the Cauchy-Binet identity (3.50) is just the RHS of eq. (3.47) multiplied by $\Delta([A])\Delta([B])$. On the other hand, from (3.49), the LHS of (3.50) is

$$\begin{aligned}\det(F_i,G_j)&=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint_{z\in S^1}\frac{\rho_+(a_iz)}{z-b_i}\frac{dz}{z}\\&=\det(\rho(a_ib_j)),\end{aligned} \tag{3.57}$$

which is just the the expression(3.48) multiplied by $\Delta([A])\Delta([B])$. Q.E.D.

Remark 3.4 Note that, for the case of **Example 3.1**, eq. (3.48) becomes the key identity (cf. [13, 31])

$$\sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq N}\frac{1}{(N)_\lambda}s_\lambda([A])s_\lambda([B])=\left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1}k!\right)\frac{\det(e^{a_ib_j}))|_{1\leq i,j\leq N}}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)}, \tag{3.58}$$

which, together with the character integral [16]

$$d_{\lambda,N}\int_{U\in U(N)}d\mu_H(U)s_\lambda([AUXU^\dagger])=s_\lambda([A])s_\lambda([X]), \tag{3.59}$$

(where $d\mu_H(U)$ is the Haar measure on $U(N)$), implies the Harish-Chandra-Itzykson-Zuber (HCIZ) integral [10]

$$\int_{U\in U(N)}d\mu_H(U)e^{([AUXU^\dagger])}=\left(\prod_{k=1}^{N-1}k!\right)\frac{\det(e^{a_ix_j})}{\Delta(A)\Delta(X)}. \tag{3.60}$$

Remark 3.5 The condition that the eigenvalues $\{a_i\}$ and $\{b_i\}$ of A and B be distinct can be eliminated simply by taking limits in which some or all of these are made to coincide. In the resulting determinantal formulae, like (3.48), and those appearing in subsequent sections, in which a Vandermonde determinant $\Delta(A)$ or $\Delta(B)$ appears in the denominator, the only modification is that the terms in the numerator determinants depending on the a_i's and b_i's are replaced by their derivatives with respect to these parameters, taken to the same degree as the degeneracy of their values, while the denominator Vandermonde determinants are correspondingly replaced by their lower dimensional analogs. This will not be further developed here, but will be considered elsewhere, in connection with correlation kernels for externally coupled matrix models. All formulae below in which no Vandermonde determinant factors $\Delta(A)$ or $\Delta(B)$ appear in the denominator remain valid in the case of degenerate eigenvalues.

4 Applications to matrix models

We now consider $N \times N$ matrix Hermitian integrals that are τ-functions, and show how the application of convolution symmetries leads to new matrix models of the externally coupled type. In the following, let $d\mu(M)$, be a measure on the space of $N \times N$ Hermitian matrices $M \in \mathbf{H}^{N\times N}$ that is invariant under conjugation by unitary matrices, and such that the reduced measure, projected to the space of eigenvalues by integration over the group $U(N)$, is a product of N identical measures $d\mu_0$ on $\mathbf{R}$, times the Jacobian factor $\Delta^2(X)$,

$$\int_{U\in U(N)} d\mu(UXU^\dagger) = \prod_{a=1}^{N} d\mu_0(x_a)\Delta^2(X). \tag{4.1}$$

where $X = \mathrm{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_N)$.

4.1 Convolution symmetries, externally coupled Hermitian matrix models and τ-functions as finite determinants

It is well-known that Hermitian matrix integrals of the form

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N(\mathbf{t}) &= \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M)\, e^{\mathrm{tr}\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i M^i} && (4.2)\\ &= \prod_{a=1}^{N} \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x_a) e^{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} t_i x_a^i}\Delta^2(X), && (4.3)\end{aligned}$$

are KP-Toda τ-functions [29]. The Schur function expansion is

$$Z_N(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\le N} \pi_{N,d\mu}(\lambda) s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}), \tag{4.4}$$

where the coefficients $\pi_{N,d\mu}(\lambda)$ are expressible as determinants in terms of the matrix of moments [11, 12, 13]

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{N,d\mu}(\lambda) &= \prod_{a=1}^{N} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x_a)\right) \Delta^2(X) s_\lambda([X]) && (4.5)\\ &= (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} N!\, \det(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_i - i + j + N - 1})|_{1\le i,j\le N} && (4.6)\\ \mathcal{M}_{ij} &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x) x^{i+j}. && (4.7)\end{aligned}$$

Now consider the externally coupled matrix model integral (cf. refs. [6, 28, 30, 31])

$$Z_{N,ext}(A) := \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M) e^{\mathrm{tr}(AM)}, \tag{4.8}$$

where $A \in \mathbf{H}^{N\times N}$ is a fixed $N \times N$ Hermitian matrix. This can be obtained by simply applying a convolution symmetry transformation of the type given in **Example 3.1** to the τ-function defined by the matrix integral (4.3).

Proposition 4.1 *Applying the convolution symmetry $\tilde{C}_\rho$ to the τ-function $Z_N(\mathbf{t})$, where $\rho_+(z)$ and $\rho_-(z)$ are defined as in (3.25), (3.26), and choosing the KP flow parameters as $\mathbf{t} = [A\,]$ gives, within a multiplicative constant, the externally coupled matrix integral (4.8)*

$$\tilde{C}_\rho(Z_N)([A\,]) = (\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} i!)^{-1} Z_{N,ext}(A). \tag{4.9}$$

Proof: Using the expansion ([13])

$$e^{\mathrm{tr}AX} = \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq N} \frac{d_{\lambda,N}}{(N)_\lambda} s_\lambda([AX]), \tag{4.10}$$

where

$$d_{\lambda,N} = s_\lambda(\mathbf{I}_N) \tag{4.11}$$

is the dimension of the irreducible $GL(N)$ tensor representation of symmetry type λ, together with the character integral (3.59) and (4.5), it follows that

$$Z_{N,ext}(A) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq N} \frac{1}{(N)_\lambda} \pi_{N,d\mu}(\lambda) s_\lambda([A]) = (\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} i!) \tilde{C}_\rho(Z_N)\big|_{\mathbf{t}=[A\,]}. \tag{4.12}$$

Q.E.D.

More generally, given an arbitrary function $\rho_+(z)$, analytic on the interior of S^1 and choosing $\rho_-(z)$ as in (3.26), we may define a new externally coupled matrix integral

$$Z_{N,\rho}(A) := \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M)\, \tau_r(N, [AM]), \tag{4.13}$$

in which $e^{\mathrm{tr}AM}$ is replaced by

$$\tau_r(N, [M]) := \tau_r(N, [\mathbf{I}_N], [M]) = \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq N} d_{\lambda,N} r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda([M]). \tag{4.14}$$

Then by the same calculation as above, it follows that $Z_{N,\rho}(A)$ is again just the τ-function obtained by applying the convolution symmetry $\tilde{C}_\rho$ to Z_N, evaluated at the parameter values $\mathbf{t} = [A\,]$.

Proposition 4.2 *Applying the convolution symmetry $\tilde{C}_\rho$ to Z_N gives*

$$\tilde{C}_\rho(Z_N)([A\,]) = Z_{N,\rho}(A). \tag{4.15}$$

In particular, if we take (ρ_+, ρ_-) as in **Example 3.2** above, we obtain (cf. [13])

$$Z_{N,\rho}(A) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{(a)_i}{i!}\right) \zeta^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M) \det(1-\zeta AM)^{-a-N+1}, \tag{4.16}$$

showing that this also is a KP-Toda τ-function evaluated at parameter values $\mathbf{t} = [A\,]$.

Returning to the general case, a finite determinantal formula for $Z_{N,\rho}(A)$ is given by the following.

Proposition 4.3

$$Z_{N,\rho}(A) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)}N!}{\Delta(A)} \det(G_{ij}(\rho, A))|_{1\le i,j\le N}, \tag{4.17}$$

where

$$G_{ij}(\rho, A) := \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x) x^{i-1} \rho_+(a_j x). \tag{4.18}$$

Proof: Applying the character integral identity (3.59) to (4.13) gives

$$Z_{N,\rho}(A) = \int_{M\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M) \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\le N} r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda([A]) s_\lambda([M]) \tag{4.19}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Delta(A)} \int d\mu_0(X) \Delta(X) \det(\rho_+(a_i x_j))|_{1\le i,j\le N} \tag{4.20}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)}N!}{\Delta(A)} \det(G_{ij}(\rho, A))|_{1\le i,j\le N}, \tag{4.21}$$

with $G_{ij}(\rho, A)$ defined by (4.18). Here, the integration over the $U(N)$ group has been performed and **Lemma 3.3** has been used in eq. (4.20). Eq. (4.21) follows from (4.20) by applying the Andréief identity [3] in the form

$$\left(\prod_{m=1}^{N} \int d\mu_0(x_m)\right) \det(\phi_i(x_j) \det(\psi_k(x_l)\Big|_{\substack{1\le i,j\le N \\ 1\le k,l\le N}} = N! \det\left(\int \phi_i(x)\psi_j(x)\right)\Big|_{1\le i,j\le N} \tag{4.22}$$

Q.E.D.

4.2 Externally coupled two-matrix models

We now turn to the case of two-matrix models. For simplicity, we only consider the Itzykson-Zuber exponential coupling [10], although the same double convolution transformations may be applied to all the couplings considered in ref. [13]. Using the HCIZ identity (3.60) to evaluate the integrals over the unitary groups $U(N)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N^{(2)}(\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) &= \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M_1) \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\tilde{\mu}(M_2)\ e^{\mathrm{tr}(\sum_{i=1}^\infty (t_iM_1^i+\tilde{t}_iM_2^i)+M_1M_2)} \qquad (4.23)\\ &= \prod_{k=1}^N k! \prod_{a=1}^N \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x_a)\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(y_a)\ e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (t_ix_a^i+\tilde{t}_iy_a^i+x_ay_a)}\right)\Delta(X)\Delta(Y), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y=\mathrm{diag}(y_a,\dots,y_N)$. This is known to be a 2KP-Toda τ-function [1, 2, 11, 12, 13, 22], with double Schur function expansion

$$Z_N^{(2)}(\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \sum_\lambda\sum_\mu B_{N,d\mu,d\tilde{\mu}}(\lambda,\mu)s_\lambda(\mathbf{t})s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}), \qquad (4.24)$$

where the coefficients $B_{N,d\mu,d\tilde{\mu}}(\lambda,\mu)$ are $N\times N$ determinants of submatrices in terms of the matrix of bimoments

$$\begin{aligned} B_{N,d\mu,d\tilde{\mu}}(\lambda,\mu) &= \prod_{k=1}^N k! \prod_{a=1}^N \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x_a)\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(y_a)e^{x_ay_a}\right)\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)s_\lambda([X])s_\lambda([Y])\\ &= (N!)\prod_{k=1}^N k!\det(\mathcal{B}_{\lambda_i-i+N,\,\mu_j-j+N})|_{1\le i,j\le N} \qquad (4.25)\\ \mathcal{B}_{ij} &:= \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x_a)\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(y_a)e^{x_ay_a}x^iy^j. \qquad (4.26) \end{aligned}$$

Now, choosing a pair of elements $(\rho,\tilde{\rho})$, with both ρ_- and $\tilde{\rho}_-$ as in (3.26), we may define a family of externally coupled two-matrix models, by

$$Z_{N,\rho,\tilde{\rho}}^{(2)}(A,B) := \int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M_1)\int_{M_1\in\mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\tilde{\mu}(M_2)\ \tau_r(N,[A],[M_1])\tau_{\tilde{r}}(N,[B],[M_2])e^{\mathrm{tr}(M_1M_2)}. \qquad (4.27)$$

where A,B are a pair of hermitian $N\times N$ matrices. This class may be obtained as the 2KP-Toda τ-function resulting from applying the convolution symmetry $\tilde{C}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}$ to $Z_N^{(2)}$.

Proposition 4.4 *Applying the convolution symmetry $\tilde{C}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}$ to $Z_N^{(2)}$ and evaluating at the parameter values $\mathbf{t}=[A]$, $\tilde{\mathbf{t}}=[B]$ gives the externally coupled matrix integral (4.27)*

$$\tilde{C}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}^{(2)}(Z_N^{(2)})([A],[B])) = Z_{N,\rho,\tilde{\rho}}^{(2)}(A,B). \qquad (4.28)$$

Proof: Because of the $U(N) \times U(N)$ invariance of the measures $d\mu$ and $d\tilde{\mu}$ in (4.27) and all factors in the integrand, except for the coupling term $e^{\mathrm{tr}(M_1 M_2)}$, we may carry out the two $U(N)$ integrations, using the HCIZ identity (3.60), to obtain a reduced integral over the diagonal matrices $X = \mathrm{diag}(x_, \dots, x_N)$, $Y = \mathrm{diag}(y_1, \dots, y_N)$ of eigenvalues of M_1 and M_2,

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(2)}_{N,\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(A,B) &= \prod_{k=1}^{N} k! \prod_{a=1}^{N} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x_a) \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(y_a)\ e^{x_a y_a} \right) \Delta(X)\Delta(Y) && (4.29) \\ &\quad \times \tau_r(N, [A], [X]) \tau_{\tilde{r}}(N, [B], [Y]) \\ &= \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \le N} \sum_{\ell(\mu) \le N} r_\lambda(N) B_{N, d\mu, d\tilde{\mu}}(\lambda, \mu) \tilde{r}_\lambda(N) s_\lambda([A]) s_\mu([B]) && (4.30) \\ &= \tilde{C}^{(2)}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(Z^{(2)}_N)([A],[B])). && (4.31) \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D.

Since the dependence on A and B is $U(N) \times U(N)$ conjugation invariant we may choose, without loss of generality, A and B to be diagonal matrices

$$A = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_N), \quad B = \mathrm{diag}(b_1, \dots, b_N), \tag{4.32}$$

We then obtain, as in the one-matrix case, a finite determinantal formula for the 2KP-Toda τ-function $Z^{2)}_{N,\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(A,B)$.

Proposition 4.5

$$Z^{(2)}_{N,\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(A,B) = \frac{N!(\prod_{k=1}^{N} k!)}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)} \det(G_{ij}(\rho, \tilde{\rho}, A, B)|_{1 \le i,j \le N}, \tag{4.33}$$

where

$$G_{ij}(\rho, \tilde{\rho}, A, B) := \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\mu_0(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}} d\tilde{\mu}_0(y) e^{xy} \rho_+(a_j x) \tilde{\rho}_+(b_j y). \tag{4.34}$$

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(2)}_{N,\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(A,B) &= \int_{M_1 \in \mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\mu(M_1) \int_{M_2 \in \mathbf{H}^{N\times N}} d\tilde{\mu}(M_2) e^{\mathrm{tr}(M_1 M_2)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\ell(\lambda) \le N} r_\lambda(N) s_\lambda([A\,]) s_\lambda([M_1]) \sum_{\ell(\mu) \le N} \tilde{r}_\mu(N) s_\mu([B\,]) s_\mu([M_2]) && (4.35) \\ &= \frac{(\prod_{k=1}^{N} k!)}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)} \int d\mu(X) \int d\tilde{\mu}(Y)\, e^{\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i y_i} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \det(\rho_+(a_k x_l))|_{1\leq k,l\leq N} \det(\tilde{\rho}_+(b_m y_n))|_{1\leq m,n\leq N} \tag{4.36}$$

$$= \frac{N!(\prod_{k=1}^N k!)}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B))} \det(G_{ij}(\rho,\tilde{\rho},A,B)|_{1\leq i,j\leq N}. \tag{4.37}$$

In (4.36), we have used the HCIZ identity (3.60), antisymmetry of the determinants in the integrand with respect to permutations in the integration variables $(x_1,\dots,x_N)$ and $(y_1,\dots,y_N)$ and **Lemma 3.3** twice, while in (4.37), we have used the Andréief identity [3] in the form

$$\left(\prod_{m=1}^N \int d\mu(x_m,y_m)\right) \det(\phi_i(x_j)\det(\psi_k(y_l)\Big|_{\substack{1\leq i,j\leq N\\ 1\leq k,l\leq N}} = N!\det\left(\int d\mu(x,y)\phi_i(x)\psi_j(y)\right)\Big|_{1\leq i,j\leq N} \tag{4.38}$$

Q.E.D.

As the simplest example of a 2KP-Toda τ-function obtained through **Propositions 4.4** and **4.5**, consider the case when the measures $d\mu_0(x)$ and $d\mu_0(y)$ are both Gaussian, and ρ_+ and $\tilde{\rho}_+$ are both taken as the exponential function.

Example 4.1

$$d\mu_0(x) = e^{-\sigma x^2}dx, \quad d\mu_0(y) = e^{-\sigma y^2}dy, \quad \rho_+(x) = e^x, \quad \tilde{\rho}_+(y) = e^y. \tag{4.39}$$

Evaluating the Gaussian integrals gives

$$G_{ij} = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{1+4\sigma^2}} e^{\frac{\sigma(a_i^2+b_j^2)-a_ib_j}{4\sigma^2-1}}, \tag{4.40}$$

and hence

$$Z_{N,\rho}(A) = \frac{(2\pi)^N N! \prod_{k=1}^N k!}{(1+4\sigma^2)^{\frac{N}{2}}\Delta(A)\Delta(B)} e^{\frac{\sigma}{4\sigma^2-1}\sum_{i=1}^N(a_i^2+b_i^2)} \det(e^{\frac{\sigma a_i b_j}{1-4\sigma^2}}). \tag{4.41}$$

The factor $e^{\frac{\sigma}{4\sigma^2-1}\sum_{i=1}^N(a_i^2+b_i^2)}$ is a linear exponential in terms of the 2KP flow variable t_2 and $\tilde{t}_2$ and hence, through the Sato formula (1.2), produces just a gauge factor multiplying the Baker-Akhiezer function [25]. Therefore (4.41) is just a rescaled, gauge transformed version of the 2KP τ-function of hypergeometric type appearing in the integrand of the Itzykson-Zuber coupled two-matrix model [10].

4.3 More general 2KP-Toda τ-functions as multiple integrals

We may extend the above results to more general 2KP-Toda τ-functions expressed as multiple integrals and finite determinants. To begin with, the following multiple integral

$$\tau^{(2)}_{d\mu}(N,\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \prod_{a=1}^N \left(\int_\Gamma \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\mu(x_a,y_a) e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (t_i x_a^i + \tilde{t}_i y_a^i)}\right) \Delta(X)\Delta(Y), \tag{4.42}$$

where Γ, $\tilde{\Gamma}$ are curves in the complex x- and y-planes and $d\mu(x,y)$ is a measure on $\Gamma \times \tilde{\Gamma}$, is a 2KP-Toda τ-function [13] for a large class of measures $d\mu_0(x,y)$. Applying a double convolution symmetry $\tilde{C}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}$, with ρ_- and $\tilde{\rho}_-$ the same as in (3.26), gives a new 2KP-Toda τ-function, also having a multiple integral representation.

Proposition 4.6

$$\tilde{C}^{(2)}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(\tau^{(2)}_{d\mu})(N,\mathbf{t},\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) = \prod_{a=1}^{N}\left(\int_\Gamma\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\mu(x_a,y_a)\right)\Delta(X)\Delta(Y)\tau_r^{(2)}(N,\mathbf{t},[X])\tau_{\tilde{r}}^{(2)}(N,\tilde{\mathbf{t}},[Y]). \tag{4.43}$$

Proof: This is proved similarly to **Proposition 4.4**, using the Cauchy-Littlewood identity (2.27) twice in the form

$$\prod_{a=1}^{N} e^{\sum_{i=1}^\infty (t_i x_a^i + \tilde{t}_i y_a^i)} = \sum_{\ell(\lambda)\leq N} s_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) s_\lambda([X]) \sum_{\ell(\mu)\leq N} s_\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{t}}) s_\mu([Y]). \tag{4.44}$$

Q.E.D.

Evaluating at parameter values $\mathbf{t} = [A]$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{t}} = [B]$, and applying again **Lemma 3.3** gives the τ-function of eq. (4.43) in $N \times N$ determinantal form.

Proposition 4.7

$$\tilde{C}^{(2)}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(\tau^{(2)}_{d\mu})([A],[B]) = \frac{N!}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)}\det(G_{ij}(\rho,\tilde{\rho},A,B)|_{1\leq i,j\leq N}, \tag{4.45}$$

where

$$G_{ij}(\rho,\tilde{\rho},A,B) := \int_\Gamma\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\mu(x,y)\rho_+(a_j x)\tilde{\rho}_+(b_j y). \tag{4.46}$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{C}^{(2)}_{\rho,\tilde{\rho}}(\tau^{(2)}_{d\mu})([A],[B]) &= \frac{1}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)}\prod_{a=1}^{N}\left(\int_\Gamma\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\mu(x_a,y_a)\right) \\ &\qquad \times \det(\rho_+(a_k x_l))|_{1\leq k,l\leq N}\det(\tilde{\rho}_+(b_m y_n))|_{1\leq m,n\leq N} \\ &= \frac{N!}{\Delta(A)\Delta(B)}\det(G_{ij}(\rho,\tilde{\rho},A,B))|_{1\leq i,j\leq N},\end{aligned} \tag{4.47}$$

where again, we have used the **Lemma 3.3** twice and the Andréief identity in the form (4.38). Q.E.D.

This therefore provides a new class of 2KP-Toda τ-functions expressible in such a finite determinantal form, associated to any pair of curves Γ, $\tilde{\Gamma}$, together with a measure $d\mu$ on their product, and a pair of functions $\rho_+(x)$ and $\tilde{\rho}_+(y)$, such that the integrals in (4.46) are well defined and convergent.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank D. Wang for helpful discussions relating to this work.

References

[1] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, “The spectrum of coupled random matrices”, *Ann. Math.* **149**, 921-976 (1999)

[2] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, “Virasoro action on Schur function expansions, skew Young tableaux and random walks”, *Commun. Pure. Appl. Math..* **58**, 362-408 (2004)

[3] C. Andréief, “Note sur une relation pour les intégrales définies des produits des fonctions”, *Mém. Soc. Sci. Bordeaux*, **2**, 1–14 (1883).

[4] Z. Bai and J. Silverstein, “On the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of a class of large dimensional random matrices.”, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **54**, 175-192 (1995).

[5] E. Bettelheim, A.G. Abanov and P. Wiegmann. “Nonlinear dynamics of quantum systems and solition theory”, *J. Phys. A* **40**, F193-F207 (2007).

[6] E. Brézin and S. Hikami, “Correlations of nearby levels induced by a random potential”, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 479**, 697-706 (1996).

[7] M. Kontsevich, “Intersection theory on the moduli space of curves and the matrix Airy function”, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **147**, 1-23 (1992).

[8] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, “Transformation groups for soliton equations, IV A new hierarchy of soliton equations of KP-type”, *Physica D* **4**, 343-365 (1982).

[9] E. Date, M. Jimbo, M. Kashiwara and T. Miwa, “Transformation groups for soliton equations”, in: *Nonlinear integrable systems-classical theory and quantum theory*, eds. M. Jimbo, and T. Miwa, World Scientific, pp. 39-120, (1983).

[10] C. Itzykson and J.B. Zuber, “The planar approximation (II)”, *J. Math. Phys.* **21**, 411-421 (1980).

[11] J. Harnad and A. Yu. Orlov, “Matrix integrals as Borel sums of Schur function expansions”, In: Symmetries and Perturbation theory SPT2002, eds. S. Abenda and G. Gaeta, World Scientific, Singapore (2003).

[12] J. Harnad and A.Yu. Orlov, “Scalar products of symmetric functions and matrix integrals”, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **137**, 1676-1690 (2003).

[13] J. Harnad and A. Yu. Orlov, “Fermionic construction of partition functions for two-matrix models and perturbative Schur function expansions ”, *J. Phys. A* **39**, 8783-8809 (2006).

[14] J. Harnad and A. Yu. Orlov, “Fermionic construction of tau functions and random processes”, *Physica D* **235**, 168-206 (2007).

[15] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa “Solitons and Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras”, *Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ.* **19**, 943-1001 (1983).

[16] I.G. Macdonald, *Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1995).

[17] A. Okounkov, “Toda equations for Hurwitz numbers”, *Math, Res. Lett.* **7**, 447-453 (2000).

[18] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande, “Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles ” *Ann. Math.* **163**, 517-560 (2006).

[19] A. Yu. Orlov, “New Solvable Matrix Integrals”, *Intern. J. Mod. Phys. A* **19**, Supplement, 276-293 (2004).

[20] A. Yu. Orlov, “Hypergeometric functions as infinite-soliton tau functions ”, *Theor. Math. Phys.* **146**, 183-206 (2006).

[21] A. Yu. Orlov and D. M. Scherbin, “Multivariate hypergeometric functions as tau-functions of Toda lattice and Kadomtsev-Petviashvili equation” *Physica D* **152**, 51-65 (2001). Times Cited: 6

[22] A. Yu. Orlov and T. Shiota, “Schur function expansion for normal matrix model and associated discrete matrix models”, *Phys. Lett. A* **343**, 384-396 (2005).

[23] M. Sato, “Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifolds”, RIMS, Kyoto Univ. *Kokyuroku* **439**, 30-46 (1981).

[24] M. Sato and Y. Sato. “Soliton equations as dynamical systems on infinite dimensional Grassmann manifold”, in: Nonlinear PDE in Applied Science, Proc. U. S.-Japan Seminar, Tokyo 1982, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1983, pp. 259-271.

[25] G. Segal and G. Wilson, “Loop groups and equations of KdV type ”, *Publications Mathmatiques de l'IHÉS* **6**, 5-65 (1985).

[26] K. Takasaki, “Initial value problem for the Toda lattice hierarchy”, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **4**, 139-163 (1984).

[27] K.Ueno and K.Takasaki, “Toda lattice hierarchy”, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.* **4**, 1-95 (1984).

[28] Dong Wang, “Complex Wishart ensemble and KP τ-functions”, arXiv:0810.0280 [nlin.SI] (2008).

[29] A. Zabrodin, S. Kharchev, A. Mironov, A.Marshakov and A. Orlov, “Matrix Models among Integrable Theories: Forced Hierarchies and Operator Formalism”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **366**, 569-601 (1991).

[30] P. Zinn-Justin, Universality of correlation functions of hermitian random matrices in an external field, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **194**, 631-650 (1998).

[31] P. Zinn-Justin, “HCIZ integral and 2D Toda lattice hierarchy”, *Nucl. Phys. B* **634**, 417-432 (2002).